RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 0 7 2006

-- . _- ·



401 Castro Street Mountain View, CA 94041-2007 T 650.625,8100 F 650.625,8110

www.sughrue.com

FAX

Date March 7, 2006

To Examiner Brandon S. HOFFMAN

Of PTO Group Art Unit 2136

Fax (571) 273-8300

From Joseph Bach, Reg. No. 37,771

Subject Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Our Ref CQ10139 Appln No 10/037,560

Conf No 7101 Inventors Eyal DOTAN

Please call attention to problems with this transmission by return fax or telephone. Thank you.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS CONFIDENTIAL, MAY BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED, AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND MAY BE UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US.

In re application of Docket No: CQ10139

9 (including cover sheet)

Eyal DOTAN

Pages

Appln. No.: 10/037,560 Group Art Unit: 2136

Confirmation No.: 7101 Examiner: Brandon S. HOFFMAN

Filed: January 4, 2002

For: METHOD OF PROTECTING COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND DATA FROM HOSTILE CODE

PAPER ENTITLED: 1) Response Under 37 C.F.R, §1.111 8 pages

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 0 7 2006

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In te application of

Docket No: CQ10139

Eyal DOTAN

Appln. No.: 10/037,560

Group Art Unit: 2136

Confirmation No.: 7101

Examiner: Brandon S. HOFFMAN

Filed: January 4, 2002

For:

METHOD OF PROTECTING COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND DATA FROM HOSTILE

CODE

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated December 21, 2005, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

REMARKS

Claims 1-6, 8-16, 19, 21, 23, 24, and 26 are all the claims pending in the application. All of the claims stand rejected as being unpatentable over Campbell (USP 6,839,850) in view of Hayman (USP 5,859,966). Applicant respectfully traverses the pending rejection for the reasons detailed below.

Preliminary, Applicant notes that Campbell and Hayman relate to two different security domains so as to address different network problems. It appears that rather than considering what these references would teach an artisan in the art, what is provided is a selective recitations